THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA): (a) to (c) The investigation of the case has since been completed. A challan has been put in the court on the 8th February, 1984 against Shri Gangaram Kapur for possessing forged Canadian and U.S. dollars.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) The interrogation of Shri Kapur reveals that he is engaged in import and export business for the last 2 years.

Sri Lankan Prime Minister's criticism of Indian Prime Minister

1785. SHRI R. SAMBASD7A RAO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item published in the 'Times of India' of May 26, 1984 under the caption "Premadasa assails P.M.";

(b) whether Prime Minister has taken up this matter with the President of Sri Lanka; and

(c) if so, what is the reaction of Sri Lankan President in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA): (a) and (b) Government have deplored the statement made by the Sri Lankan Prime Minister in the Sri Lankan Parliament on 24th May and pointed out that the charges and accusations hurled at India were totally without basis.

(c) Sri Lankan Government have stated that of late such statements have not been made by anyone in the Sri Lankan Government.

Recovery of loan from M/s. Orient Vision Limited by ETTDC

1786. SHRI SURESH KALMADI:
SHRI S. W. DHABE:

WUl the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Electronics Trade & Technology Development Corporation (ETTDC) has not been able to recover a sum of Rs. 1.39 crores given to M/s. Orient Vision Limited and its subsidiaries as loans;

(b) if so, what are the details in this regard; and

(c) the steps being taken to fix responsibility in the matter and to recover the said amount from the company?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI M. S. SANJEEVI RAO): (a) and (b) Electronics Trade and Technology Development Corporation (ETTDC)' now known as (ETIT) has not given a loan of Rs. 1.39 crores to M/s. Orient Vision Limited. However, certain amounts are due to ETTDC on various accounts, from M/s. Orient Vision Ltd. (OVL) and its subsidiaries viz. M/s. D. T. Gandhi (DTG) and M/s. Orientronics Electronics **Ltd., (OEL)** as per details given in statement. The dues include an amount of loan of Rs. 15 lakhs given to OVL plus interest thereon of Rs. 6.6 lakhs and an advance of Rs. 5 lakh given to **its** subsidiary, viz. M/s. D. T. Gandhi (DTG).

(c) In pursuance of the recommendations of the Committee on Public Undertakings of Parliament, the Department of Electronics, appointed a Committee to enquire into the affairs, of OVL. The Committee has sine* given its report and the report is under the examination of Government.